



# Mapping overland flow hazard in order to enhance citizens' awareness of head catchment hydrology


**Ir. Nathalie Pineux**  
**Ir François Colard**  
**Dr. Aurore Degré**

# European context

- Between 1998 and 2004, Europe suffered from more than hundred major inundations,
  - 700 deaths,
  - the moving of about half a million of people
  - at least 25 billions Euros of economic losses covered by the insurance policies.
- ➔ 2007/60/CE directive
  - This directive aims at a better evaluation of the risks and a better coordination of prevention, protection and crisis management.
- In most countries, inundation maps ← rivers' overflowing

# Belgian context

- The damages caused by muddy floods are higher than those caused by flooding of rivers.
  - The cleaning operations for a village after a storm can lead to an estimated cost of 11 000 €.
  - Loss of arable land.
  - physical and chemical alteration of rivers
  - psychological stress for people.



Frequency of muddy floods over a 10-year period in all municipalities of the study area; data for Wallonia (1991–2000) taken from Biielders et al. (2003), data for Flanders (1995–2004) derived from a questionnaire sent to all municipalities in 2005.





Belgium, Orp-Jauche  
August 2011  
Demarcin and Delheid



# Troubling facts...

- The citizen's awareness is not sufficient
- To date, there is no building regulation in runoff inundation zones



# Objectives of the study





- In 2011 in Wallonia, political decision :  
*“overland flows and mudflows will be included in the flood hazard map”*.
- Technical specifications
  - All the citizens “at the same level” → use of data available on the whole region (17000 km<sup>2</sup>)
  - Maximal use of existing data
  - Minimising the zones affected by regulations
  - Regulations must lead to adapt the building project and not to forbid it
    - The land management plan fix the parcels' prices but doesn't take into account the natural risks. Therefore, a new hazard map is a loss of value for owners



# Mapping overland flow hasard




- Some methods were developed accross Europe, we tested their application in Wallonia



Example of a small catchment highly impacted in spring 2011

# Example 1/3

- Technic derived from a study in the Arno river catchment
  - Includes
    - Concentration time
    - return period of a given intense rainfall
- ➔ the whole subcatchment is set « at risk »




Houses flooded by  
runoff and mudflow



# Example 2/3


- Use of the colluvial soils (belgian soil map)
  - Includes
    - Zones where colluvial and alluvial soils were identified
- ➔ Data not available in urbanised zones



Houses flooded by runoff and mudflow

# Example 3/3

- Accumulation flow
- Includes
  - DEM
- ➔ No difference between soils, land use, concentration time....



Houses flooded by  
runoff and mudflow




# Method proposed

- Available data
  - Spatially distributed rainfall statistics
  - DTM (1/10'000)
  - Soil map (1/20'000)
  - Landuse map (1/10'000)
- lacking data
  - Small hydraulic infrastructures

# Method proposed

- Pragmatic analysis
  - Automatic extraction of dry watersheds
  - the outlets are considered as the points where runoff enters the permanent river network
  - Rainfall : T 25, 50 and 100 years (statistics available for each city), duration 1 h
  - Land use -> following landuse map except in agricultural zones where soil are considered as bare.
  - CN calculation of runoff production
  - Unit hydrograph transfer to outlet
  - Extraction of **peak flow value**





# Method proposed

- Peak flow value is then distributed in the watershed proportionnaly to the flow accumulation of each pixel (10X10m resolution)

$$Q_{pp} = (Q_p * S_p) / (S_{bv})$$


$Q_{pp}$  : peak value of a pixel

$Q_p$  : peak value at the outlet


$S_{bv}$  : watershed area

$S_p$  : flow accumulation of the pixel

- Then, the discharge values are classified = political decision




# Combination with existing flooding map



# Use of the map

- New building permit
  - Within 20 meters of a runoff axis, applicant will have to require an advice from the land management administration
  - He/She will have to check the project and, if necessary, recommand measures to limit the vulnerability of the new building.
  
- Existing building
  - In case of flooding, technical advisers will propose mitigation measures in the watershed as well as at the building's level
  - (specialised team of 4 people started in 2011) [www.giser.be](http://www.giser.be)





# Advantages and drawbacks



- ✓ The runoff and muddy floods hazards are mapped
- ✓ New buildings projects will have to take that into account
  
- ✗ Location on the runoff axis is based on a 10\*10m DTM
- ✗ Small hydraulics are not known at the regional scale
- ✗ Existing houses remain unprotected
  - This is only a first attempt to deal with this particular phenomenon
  - An human analysis remains essential but only on limited zones identified to be at risk

Thank you



*Founded by SPW*

*Nathalie Pineux and Aurore Degré*

***Soil – Water systems***

*Ulg - Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech*

*[Aurore.degre@ulg.ac.be](mailto:Aurore.degre@ulg.ac.be) <http://www.gembloux.ulg.ac.be/ha>*

*Publications and reports <http://www.orbi.ulg.ac.be>*